

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# **The Urban Heat Island, Photochemical Smog, and Chicago: Local Features of the Problem and Solution**



Kimberly A. Gray  
Mary E. Finster

Department of Civil Engineering  
Northwestern University  
Evanston, IL

Submitted  
to  
Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Division  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page #</u>
LIST OF TABLES .....	v
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	ix
1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> .....	1
1.1. PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	1
1.2. OBJECTIVES .....	2
2. <u>BACKGROUND</u> .....	3
2.1. THE URBAN HEAT ISLAND .....	3
2.2. AIR QUALITY IN URBAN AREAS – GROUND LEVEL OZONE ...	5
2.2.1. Effects .....	7
<i>Human Health Effects</i> .....	7
<i>Environmental Effects</i> .....	9
2.2.2. Regulations And Standards .....	11
2.3. THE OZONE PROBLEM .....	17
2.3.1. Chemistry .....	17
2.3.2. Sources .....	23
<i>Stratospheric-Tropospheric Transport</i> .....	23
<i>Surface Transport</i> .....	24
<i>Atmospheric Mixing</i> .....	24
<i>Temperature</i> .....	33
<i>Ground Level Emissions</i> .....	36
<i>Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>)</i> .....	37

	<i>Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)</i> .....	39
	2.3.3. Implications for Chicago .....	41
3.	<b><u>MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES - COOLING OUR COMMUNITIES</u></b> ...	42
3.1.	<b>VEGETATION</b> .....	44
3.1.1.	<b>Effects</b> .....	46
	<i>Direct Effects</i> .....	46
	<i>Indirect Effects</i> .....	47
3.1.2.	<b>Implementation Strategies</b> .....	49
3.2.	<b>LIGHT COLORED SURFACES</b> .....	52
3.2.1.	<b>Effects</b> .....	53
3.2.2.	<b>Implementation Strategies</b> .....	54
3.2.3.	<b>Roofs</b> .....	55
	<i>Summer Benefit</i> .....	55
	<i>Winter Penalty</i> .....	57
3.2.4.	<b>Pavement</b> .....	59
	<i>Life Cycle Analysis</i> .....	60
	<i>Methodology</i> .....	60
	<i>Phases</i> .....	61
	<i>Concrete and Asphalt Pavement</i> .....	64
	<i>Project Characterization</i> .....	65
	<i>Inventory Analysis</i> .....	70
	<i>Impact Assessment</i> .....	75
	<i>Improvement Assessment</i> .....	77
4.	<b><u>CHICAGO AREA CASE STUDY</u></b> .....	79

<b>4.1. TRENDS IN METROPOLITAN CHICAGO</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>4.1.1. Monitoring Stations</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>4.1.2. Temperature and Ozone Trends</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>4.1.3. Implications for Chicago</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>4.2. URBAN FABRIC ANALYSIS</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>4.2.1. Land Use</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>4.2.2. Methodology</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>4.3. RESULTS AND EVALUATION</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>4.3.1. Chicago's Vegetative Surface</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>4.3.2. Chicago's Roofed Surface</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>4.3.3. Chicago's Paved Surface</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>5. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u></b>	<b>104</b>
<b>5.1. URBAN HEAT ISLAND AND OZONE</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>5.2. THE CHICAGO REGION</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>5.2.1. Temperature and Ozone Relationship in Chicago</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>5.2.2. Chicago's Urban Heat Island and Ozone Distribution</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>5.2.3. Cooling Chicago</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>5.2.4. Chicago's Urban Fabric</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>APPENDIXES</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>A. Illinois Statewide Ozone Precursor Emissions Inventory – 1996 Typical         Summer Day Emissions of Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) Chicago         Nonattainment Area</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>B. Illinois Statewide Ozone Precursor Emissions Inventory – 1996 Typical         Summer Day Emissions of Volatile Organic Materials (VOC) Chicago</b>	

Nonattainment Area .....	118
C. Transformities/Emergy Conversions .....	124
D. Urban Fabric Analysis Sector Locations .....	126
E. Urban Fabric Analysis Results .....	128
REFERENCES .....	132

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	12
2	Ozone Nonattainment Areas by Classification .....	16
3	Source Categories Used to Inventory Nitrogen Oxide Emissions .....	37
4	Source Categories Used to Inventory Volatile Organic Compound Emissions .....	39
5	Life Cycle Phases .....	69
6	Aggregated Energy Input Source Data for Concrete Highway Pavement System ...	72
7	Aggregated Energy Input Source Data for Asphalt Highway Pavement System ....	72
8	Ozone Noncompliance Summary for the Chicago Area .....	87
9	Urban Fabric Analysis for the Chicago Area .....	96
10	Geographical Variations of the Urban Fabric within each Land Use Category ...	97
11	Vegetative Surface Cover for Chicago .....	99
12	Roofed Surface Cover for Chicago .....	101
13	Paved Surface Cover for Chicago .....	102

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Sketch of an Urban Heat-Island Profile .....	3
2	Map of Ozone Nonattainment Areas by Classification .....	15
3	The Vertical Structure of The Atmosphere .....	25
4	Average Ozone Concentration During Low Wind Speed Conditions .....	27
5	Average Ozone Concentration During High Wind Speed Conditions .....	28
6	Time Series Ozone Maps .....	29
7	Relative Change Of Ozone Concentration With Wind Speed Of Different Cities ..	30
8	Wind Speed vs. Ozone for Chicago (Jun-Aug,1994-1996) .....	31
9a	Transport Wind Vectors & Regions of Influence (High Ozone days) .....	32
9b	Transport Wind Vectors & Regions of Influence (Low Ozone Days) .....	32
10	Ozone Concentrations & Airmass History .....	33
11	Maximum Daily Ozone Concentration verses Maximum Daily Temperature for Chicago*, Atlanta, New York, Detroit, and Phoenix† .....	34
12	Typical Summer Day Emissions of NO <sub>x</sub> for Chicago the Area (1996) .....	38
13	Typical Summer Day Emissions of VOC for Chicago the Area (1996) .....	40
14	Beneficial Functions of Trees .....	45
15	Changes in the Expenditures of Energy – Wind Shielding and Shading Effects ....	47
16	Estimated Cooling Energy Savings from Increased Vegetation .....	48
17	Sample Residential Landscape .....	49
18	Strategic Planting Diagram .....	49
19	Surface Albedo Values .....	52



20	Effects of Surface Color on Temperature .....	54
21	Cooler Roofs .....	56
22	Infrared Roof Image .....	57
23	Solar Path Diagram .....	58
24	Comparison of Pavement Albedos and Temperatures .....	59
25	Concrete Highway Pavement System Components .....	67
26	Concrete Highway Pavement Subsystem Component Sequencing .....	67
27	Asphalt Highway Pavement System Components .....	68
28	Asphalt Highway Pavement Subsystem Component Sequencing .....	68
29	Concrete Highway Pavement System Aggregated Energy Input Signature .....	73
30	Asphalt Highway Pavement System Aggregated Energy Input Signature .....	74
31	Total Phase Emergy Input Signature .....	75
32	Cumulative Total Input Source Emergy .....	76
33	Ozone Monitoring Stations in the Chicago Region .....	80
34	Heat Island Profile for St. Louis, Missouri .....	82
35	Sketch of Chicago's Heat Island Profile .....	83
36	Summer Heat Island Profile for the Chicago Area .....	84
37	Ozone Noncompliance Distribution for the Chicago Region for April-October 1994 to 1996 .....	85
38	Histogram of the Daily Temperature Difference between Lisle and Evanston .....	86
39	Ozone Noncompliance Distribution for the Chicago Area .....	88
40	Frequency of Ozone Episodes in a Specific Temperature Range Relative to the Total Number of Days in that Range .....	88
41	Land Use in the City of Chicago, 1990 .....	92

42	Urban Fabric Analysis Sector Locations .....	93
43	Chicago's Urban Fabric .....	99

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

High rates of urbanization have resulted in drastic demographic, economic, land use, and climate changes. The growth and expansion of cities entails the construction of new roads, buildings, and other human made structures to accommodate the growing population, and in turn, the destruction of the natural ground cover and landscape. As a result, urban microclimates, referred to as urban heat islands, with elevated air temperatures of 2-8°F, increased energy demands, and elevated pollution concentrations are created.

It has been proposed that as a result of a decrease in temperature, the modification of an urban surface to include more vegetative cover and lighter, lower albedo surfaces would also reduce ozone exceedances, energy consumption, and detrimental environmental and human health effects associated with high levels of ozone. Chicago is among the cities classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area, and is the focus of this study. The purpose of this investigation is to characterize the ozone and temperature relationship in the Chicago area, review strategies that diminish ambient urban temperatures, with particular attention to comparing the total costs associated with asphalt and concrete pavement design, and present the results of an urban fabric analysis for Chicago that reveals areas and land uses where cooling strategies may have the greatest impact.

The Chicago area ozone and temperature relationship was found to have a rather weak correlation, which suggests that there are other factors present that dictate the quality of Chicago's air. In fact, our analysis, in conjunction with studies conducted by the Ozone Transport Assessment Group (OTAG), established that Chicago's ozone problem is strongly correlated with local and regional wind patterns and atmospheric transport. There is, however, a clear temperature threshold. Over a five year period for 13 monitoring stations, ninety percent of the ozone exceedances in the metropolitan area occurred at temperatures above 80°F and the likelihood of smog events increases at extreme temperatures over 95°F.

The temperature profile of Chicago showed that the actual heat island in the Chicago area consistently appears in the western suburbs (specifically over Lisle), not in the Downtown area. An examination of the ozone data for the Chicago area, specifically Cook County, revealed that the majority of the noncompliance days are not located in Downtown Chicago. Instead, they appear to center around the northern suburbs.

When the heat island and ozone levels in various locations of Chicago are compared, it is observed that the areas having a greater frequency of ozone noncompliance do not correspond to the heat island and these areas are located in a region with few known emissions sources. The result of this comparison supports the hypothesis that atmospheric and surface transport mechanisms greatly influence the ozone distribution in the Chicago area. In addition, this observation indicates that the occurrence of elevated ozone concentrations is a regional issue, although it can be assumed that a portion of the ozone originates from within the domain as a result of the reactions of O<sub>3</sub> precursors.

Urbanization of the natural landscape through the replacement of vegetation with roads, bridges, houses, and commercial buildings has dramatically altered the temperature profile of cities. While many of the factors that influence the formation of urban heat islands, including climate, topography, and weather patterns, cannot be changed or altered, there are efficient and cost-effective ways of mitigating heat islands exist. Two heat island factors attributable to human activities can be readily controlled: the amount of vegetation and the color of surfaces.(3) Increasing vegetative cover through strategic landscaping around buildings and throughout cities

can absorb solar radiation, provide shade, and control wind flow benefits. Changing dark colored surfaces to light colored ones would more effectively reflect, rather than absorb, solar energy and emit stored heat energy at a higher rate, thus reducing the cooling energy loads and ground level air temperatures influenced by these surfaces.

While little resistance is typically encountered in devising programs to increase tree plantings and vegetative cover, greater obstacles are met with efforts to change construction and paving practices. This report has focused on evaluating the impacts and costs associated with asphalt versus concrete pavement.

Traditionally in life cycle cost analysis, an emphasis has been placed on the respective costs of different pavement alternative throughout their lifetimes. As a result, when concrete and asphalt systems are compared, the asphalt pavement alternative is usually selected because a concrete system is more expensive to construct and maintain.(35) Life cycle assessment, using environmental value engineering, employs a systems approach methodology to more accurately compare the input requirements and related environmental impacts of pavement alternatives.(42) As a result, when concrete and asphalt highway pavement systems are compared using this revised life cycle analysis approach, concrete proves to be superior. In fact, it has been shown that based on a normalized unit of comparison, overall concrete is approximately 47.6% more efficient than asphalt.

Land use and surface cover are elements of the urban fabric that are commonly altered during the development of metropolitan areas. Because these elements, which include vegetation, building roofs, and pavements, act as the active thermal interfaces between the atmosphere and land surfaces, their composition and structure within the urban canopy layer largely determines the thermal behavior of different areas within a city. Thus, the alteration of these surfaces results in the creation of numerous urban microclimates; the combined result of which is referred to as the heat island effect.(52)

In order to accurately analyze the effect of surface cover modifications, attain pertinent results, and eventually simulate realistic estimates of temperature and ozone reductions resulting from these modifications, the current urban fabric of the Chicago must be quantified as it relates to land use.

Based on our urban fabric analysis it was found that the residential vegetative cover is relatively high, above 45%, over all the different density areas within the city of Chicago. Thus, it is also concluded that Chicago is already doing a relatively good job in the residential areas with respect to maintaining a high vegetative cover to paved surface ratio, of approximately 2.2-4.2. In contrast, the commercial and industrial areas in the Chicago area have the least proportion of vegetative cover, about 10-16%.

The analysis of roofed surface cover revealed that this proportion is dependent upon the building density within a particular land use category. Thus, it was observed that recreational and far suburban residential areas contain the least portion of roofed surfaces, less than 13%, for they have the lowest building density associated with them. In addition, when light/white roofs were separated out, it was revealed that lighter roofing materials are already in wide use in Chicago with the greatest percentage of light/white roofs was found in commercial areas, 66-90%. This point illustrates the feasibility of employing light roofing materials, in the construction and resurfacing of building roofs, as a heat island mitigation strategy in the Chicago area. Specifically, an emphasis should be placed on the suburbs where a high degree of development is occurring.

The paved surface cover was found to be the greatest in the transportation, commercial, and industrial areas, where its proportion is above 50%. In addition, the vegetative cover to

paved surface ratio in these three areas is small, about 0.2-0.5. As a result, an emphasis should be placed upon developing and implementing mitigation strategies within the transportation, commercial, and industrial areas of Chicago. These mitigation strategies should include a focus on greater use of concrete over asphalt, and in general, should encourage the use of higher albedo paving materials the suburban areas that almost exclusively use asphalt for pavement. However, a change to the utilization of concrete over asphalt will require urban and suburban planners to compare costs over longer design lives and consider all the environmental costs associated with a material's use, neither of which is currently done. The life cycle analysis reviewed herein presents one methodology for making such a comparison.

The use of cooling strategies, such as increased vegetation and use of high albedo surfaces, if applied to the metropolitan Chicago area may be expected to produce a decrease in temperature, similar to that predicted for the LA Basin. However, most ozone noncompliance days are not solely the result of temperature effects and the majority occurs at temperatures above 85°F. Therefore, a 4°F decrease in temperature, as observed for surface modification simulations within the LA Basin (3,4), would probably have a similar, small effect by promoting a 10-12% reduction in the overall number of ozone noncompliance days in the Chicago area. Based upon observed data, a 10-12% reduction in the total number of ozone noncompliance days would translate into only approximately 0.6 days per year. Therefore, it is important to consider and examine more fully the other benefits, in addition to lower ozone levels, that are promoted by cooling strategies. These additional benefits include reduced energy demands, increased health protection, and increased comfort.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The purpose of this project is to identify the effect that surface modifications have on the urban heat island phenomenon and related ozone problem in the metropolitan area of Chicago, IL. The basic hypothesis is that urban, summertime temperatures can be significantly lowered by increasing the vegetative landscape cover and enhancing the solar reflectivity of paved and roofed surfaces within an urban area. It is proposed that in addition to a decrease in temperature, the modification of an urban surface to include more vegetative cover and lighter, lower albedo surfaces will also reduce energy consumption, ozone exceedances, and detrimental environmental and human health effects associated with high levels of ozone.

The analysis is divided into three main parts. The first section of this report introduces the causes of ground level ozone and its effects in urban areas. It explains both the chemistry and transport associated with ozone exceedances. The second section is a compilation of the most viable mitigation strategies of urban heat islands: increasing vegetative cover and increasing proportions of light to dark surfaces. The effects, implementation strategies, and specific strengths and weaknesses associated with each approach are described, including a comparison of asphalt and concrete pavements systems using a life cycle analysis approach. The final section provides a case study of the Chicago area. This study entailed an examination of the land use, development of an urban fabric analysis in which total vegetative, paved, and roofed surfaces are investigated and quantified, and discussion on the effectiveness of possible mitigation strategies in the Chicago area. In general, the associated findings of my research are located within this final section.

## 1.2 OBJECTIVES

The overall goal of this project is to investigate the relationship between the urban heat island phenomenon, the ozone problem, and the effect of urban surface cover and color modifications in the metropolitan area of Chicago, IL.

The specific objectives of this work are to:

- Review the detrimental effects of the urban heat island phenomenon, particularly as a causative factor in promoting exceedances of air quality ozone standards, and identify mitigation alternatives that may reduce the effects of the urban heat island.
- Illustrate the differences in temperature between the urbanized center of Chicago and the surrounding areas in order to identify the heat island in the Chicago region.
- Examine the spatial distribution of ozone levels in the Chicago area and consider probable sources.
- Evaluate of the relationship between temperature and ozone.
- Develop a method to analyze the urban fabric of the Chicago area from aerial photographs, thus allowing the determination of the proportion of vegetative, roofed, and paved surfaces as a function of land use.
- Evaluate of the effectiveness of possible mitigation strategies as applied to the Chicago area, with special focus on vegetation and paving materials.